



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/706,991 11/14/2003 | | Jeremy Bem | 0026-0057 | 7360 | | |
| 44989 75 | 90 06/22/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| HARRITY SNYDER, LLP | | | VEILLARD, | VEILLARD, JACQUES | | |
| 11350 Random SUITE 600 | Hills Road | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| FAIRFAX, VA 22030 | | | 2165 | 2165 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/706,991 | | BEM ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Jacques Vei | | 2165 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 141 | November 200 | 03. | | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) | 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | _ | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-46}}$ are subject to restriction and/or | r election requ | irement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | cepted or b) | objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attach | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4 | nterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/03; 2/27/04: 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: IDS 4/4/04. | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/706,991 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the applicant's communication filed on 11/14/2003.

2. Claims 1-46 are pending and presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24 and 40-41, drawn to a method and system for ranking documents, wherein documents related to a search query have been identified and scoring based on a ranking model, classified in class 707, subclass 005.
- II. Claims 25-39 and 42-46, drawn to a method and system for generating a model by selecting a candidate condition includes one or more features, estimate a weight for the candidate condition and forming a rule from the candidate condition and the weight, classified in class 707, subclass 102.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclose as capable of use together and they are different modes of operation, different functions or different effects (see MPEP § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions require different modes of operation. Group I requires a method and system for ranking documents, wherein documents related to a search query have been identified and scoring based on a ranking model while Group II requires a method and system for generating a model by selecting a candidate condition includes one or more features, estimate a weight for the candidate condition and forming a rule from the candidate condition and the weight.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have require a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 806.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have required a separate status in the art because of their recognized subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,991 Page 4

Art Unit: 2165

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Points Of Contact

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques Veillard whose telephone number is (571) 272-4086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. from 9 AM to 4:30 PM, alt. Fri. off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J.V J.V Jacques Veillard Patent Examiner TC 2100

June 19, 2006